

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485-22Defer Re O/H ☐Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 28/03/2024
fromPaul CorriganI recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material issuesE.O.: Pat BDate: 08/04/2024

For further consideration by SEO/SAO

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4 weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No: ABP 314485-22

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on 28/03/2024 as follows:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____
2. Acknowledge with BP <u>23</u>
3. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐ | 1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____
2. Keep Envelope: ☐
3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐ |
|--|--|

Amendments/Comments Paul Corrigan response to S.13112/03/24: 02/04/24 ✓

4. Attach to file

- | | |
|---|---|
| (a) R/S ☐ | (d) Screening ☐ |
| (b) GIS Processing ☐ | (e) Inspectorate ☐ |
| (c) Processing ☐ | |

RETURN TO EO ☐EO: Pat B
 Plans Date Stamped ☐
 Date Stamped Filled in ☐
AA: Anthony McNallyDate: 08/04/2024Date: 25/04/2024

ABP 314485-22

Page 1
F20H/0668

The DAA have made new maps available with new noise contours to match flight paths that they have been using and they do not have planning permission for. This is the 3rd time they have changed flight paths. None of the flight paths are the ones from the planning permission in 2007 for the north Runway.

Their noise contours were generated on a computer and now the Real Results are very different.

There insulation scheme is not up to World Health Organisation Standards. They only want to bring it down to 65db. W.H.O. says 40db approx (could be 45db?). Night flights should not be allowed after 11pm (10pm would be better)

W.H.O. recommends 8 hrs sleep which is not possible with the noise generated when planes are taking off.

The Bigger aircraft (Emirates aerlingus malaysia) are lower in the sky when taking off and therefore Louder.

If the 32million cap is lifted for Passengers it would mean more of these planes.

average noise levels should not be used as the silence between flights is not the problem.

They can't be trusted to follow the law.

On Occassions when they used the north Runway after 11pm, I have been awakened and have had bad nights sleep.

maintenance should therefore take place during the day shutting down one Runway as they were at 32 million passengers before it was built.

The instrument landing checks should be possible to do before 11pm for the same Reason.

yours sincerely,

Paul Corrigan
Bishopswood,
The Ward,
Co. Dublin.

Paul CORRIGAN
Bishopswood
The Ward
Co. Dublin.

P.S. I am in work for 6am. I only get 6 hrs and 15 minutes of quiet from the Planes.

AN BORD PLEANÁLA	
LDG-	_____
ABP-	_____
28 MAR 2024	
Fee: €	Type: _____
Time: _____	By: <u>Post</u>